

<u>Area</u> 1	Industry ²	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-montn %</u> change	<u>12-montn</u> gain/loss	Rank ³
Alabama Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	89,000 99,200	91,100 100,800	2% 2%	2,100 1,600	
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL Auburn-Opelika, AL Birmingham-Hoover, AL Decatur, AL Dothan, AL Florence-Muscle Shoals, AL Gadsden, AL Huntsville, AL Mobile, AL Montgomery, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100 2,600 28,100 4,000 2,600 3,500 1,000 8,800 11,200 6,200	1,200 2,800 29,000 4,100 2,600 3,500 1,000 9,000 11,700 6,600	9% 8% 3% 0% 0% 0% 2% 4% 6%	100 200 900 100 0 0 200 500 400	21 31 125 125 201 201 201 147 100 57
Tuscaloosa, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,600	7,100	8%	500	31
Columbus, GA-AL Alaska Statewide Statewide Anchorage, AK Fairbanks, AK	Mining, Logging, and Construction Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Construction Construction	4,700 13,400 26,000 8,400 2,200	4,700 14,300 27,300 9,000 2,100	0% 7% 5% -5%	0 900 1,300 600 -100	201 41 326
Arizona Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	163,400 176,700	171,700 185,200	5% 5%	8,300 8,500	
Flagstaff, AZ Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ Prescott, AZ Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ Tucson, AZ Yuma, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,500 3,400 128,600 5,900 1,700 17,300 2,800	2,300 3,300 135,400 6,100 1,900 17,900 3,000	-8% -3% 5% 3% 12% 3% 7%	-200 -100 6,800 200 200 600 200	341 294 73 125 6 125 41
Arkansas Statewide	Construction	50,100	51,900	4%	1,800	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	55,800	57,600	3%	1,800	
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MO Fort Smith, AR-OK Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway, AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,800 5,200 17,200	12,400 5,800 18,000	5% 12% 5%	600 600 800	73 6 73
Memphis, TN-MS-AR Texarkana, TX-AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	23,000 2,700	23,400 2,700	2% 0%	400 0	147 201
California Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	842,800 864,600	859,000 881,100	2% 2%	16,200 16,500	
Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div. Bakersfield, CA Chico, CA El Centro, CA Fresno, CA Hanford-Corcoran, CA Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div. Madera, CA Merced, CA Modesto, CA	Construction Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	104,000 15,600 4,000 1,800 17,900 1,000 144,100 1,900 2,300 9,800	104,100 16,500 4,200 1,800 18,200 1,000 150,700 1,900 2,600 9,900	0.1% 6% 5% 0% 2% 0% 5% 0% 13% 1%	100 900 200 0 300 0 6,600 0 300	199 57 73 201 147 201 73 201 3



Aros1	Inductor ²	lan '10	lan '20	12-montn %	12-month
Area	Industry	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>change</u>	gain/loss



!"#"\$%&'("%)*\%+),("-./"'),%D123)41\$,"%54%6\$"-)2)3'"#,%7-\$#%)-%B'9'('),:%#,.#-4%<=>?@;#,.#-4%<=<=

<u>Area</u> ¹	Industry ²	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-montn %</u> change	<u>12-montn</u> gain/loss	<u>Rank³</u>
Kansas City, MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,900	29,700	6%	1,800	57
Kentucky Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	75,000 85,600	75,500 84,200	1% -2%	500 -1,400	
Bowling Green, KY Elizabethtown-Fort Knox, KY Lexington-Fayette, KY Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN Owensboro, KY	Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,000 1,900 12,900 28,700 2,500	2,900 1,900 13,400 28,800 2,700	-3% 0% 4% 0% 8%	-100 0 500 100 200	294 201 100 198 31
Clarksville, TN-KY Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN Evansville, IN-KY Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,000 44,800 9,500 7,400	3,200 43,200 9,300 6,300	7% -4% -2% -15%	200 -1,600 -200 -1,100	41 310 276 354
Louisiana Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	145,300 181,700	131,900 167,900	-9% -8%	-13,400 -13,800	
Baton Rouge, LA Houma-Thibodaux, LA Lafayette, LA Lake Charles, LA New Orleans-Metairie, LA Shreveport-Bossier City, LA	Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction	54,000 4,800 9,200 23,700 29,200 7,700	47,400 4,800 9,200 20,200 26,600 7,500	-12.0% 0% -15% -9% -3%	-6,600 0 -3,500 -2,600 -200	353 201 201 354 348 294
Maine Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,600 29,900	27,200 29,300	-1% -2%	-400 -600	
Bangor, ME NECTA Lewiston-Auburn, ME NECTA Portland-South Portland, ME NECTA	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,900 2,700 9,600	3,000 2,700 9,500	3% 0% -1%	100 0 -100	125 201 266
Dover-Durham, NH-ME NECTA Portsmouth, NH-ME NECTA Marvland	Mining, Logging, and Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,700 2,500	1,700 2,600	0% 4%	0 100	201 100

Maryland Statewide

l

Construction





				12-montn %	12-month
<u>Area</u> ¹	Industry ²	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>change</u>	gain/loss



!"#"\$%{'("%)*%+),("-./"'),%0123)41\$,"%54%6\$"-)2)3'"#,%7-\$#%)-%8'9'('),:%#,.#-4%<=>?@;#,.#-4%<=<=

<u>Area</u> ¹	Industry ²	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-montn %</u> change	<u>12-montn</u> gain/loss	Rank ³
Akron, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,200	13,900	5%	700	73
Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,100	7,600	-6%	-500	330
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	44,800	43,200	-4%	-1,600	310
Cleveland-Elyria, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	35,100	37,900	8%	2,800	31
Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	39,900	43,400	9%	3,500	21
Dayton, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	12,700	12,800	1%	100	176
Lima, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	2,000	0%	0	201
Mansfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,800	-10%	-200	350
Springfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,000	-9%	-100	348
Toledo, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,900	14,700	6%	800	57
Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,600	0%	0	201
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,500	8,300	-2%		



!"#"\$%&'("%)*\}, ("-./"'),%D123)41\$, "%54%6\$"-)2)3'"#,%7-\$#%)-%B'9'('),:%#,.#-4%<=>?@;#,.#-4%<=<=

Area ¹	Industry ²	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-montn %</u> <u>change</u>	<u>12-montn</u> gain/loss	Rank ³
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC Spartanburg, SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,500	10,900	4%	400	100
	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,500	7,600	1%	100	176
Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,800	20,800	5%	1,000	73
Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	65,700	68,600	4%	2,900	100
South Dakota Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	20,700 21,500	21,700 22,600	5% 5%	1,000 1,100	
Rapid City, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,700	4,700	0%	0	201
Sioux Falls, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,200	8,300	1%	100	176
Tennessee Statewide Statewide	Construction Mining, Logging, and Construction	124,200 128,500	127,300 131,600	2% 2%	3,100 3,100	

Chattanooga, TN-G 0 0 0.7-0.1 (w) 0.2 (i) -0.4 559.44 508.32 7.92 re W n /Cs1 cs 0 0 0 sc q 0.72 0 0 0.72 59.04 547.92 cm BT 0 0 0 17 0 0 Tm/TT4 1 Tf [(C) 0.2 (h) -0.1 (a)ing, L-0.1 (i) -0.1 (i)



!"#"\$%&'("%)*%+),("-./"'),%D123)41\$,"%54%6\$"-)2)3'"#,%7-\$#%)-%B'9'('),:%#,.#-4%<=>?@;#,.#-4%<=<=

				12-montn %	12-month	
<u>Area¹</u>	Industry ²	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	change	gain/loss	Rank ³

³Rank: BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in emplom (nn) -0.1 (a) 2 (h) -0.1 (n) -0.1 (